SHDKT PROCEEDINGS AND ORDERS DATE: [06/02/95]

CASE NBR: [94100627] ATX STATUS: [GRANTED]
SHORT TITLE: [Louisiana, et al.]
VERSUS [Hays, Ray, et al.] DATE DOCKETED: [092694]
PAGE: [01]

~~~~~~~~DATE~~~~NOTE~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~PROCEEDINGS & ORDERS~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~

1 Jul 27 1994 C Application (A94-64) for a stay pending appeal to this Court, submitted to Justice Scalia.
2 Jul 27 1994 Application (A94-64) referred to the Court by Justice Scalia.
3 Aug 3 1994 Response to application (A94-64) filed by Ray Hays, et al.,.
4 Aug 3 1994 (A94-64) Supplemental Memorandum in support of application for stay filed by State of Louisiana, et al.
5 Aug 5 1994 (A94-64) Opposition to Supplemental Memorandum filed by Ray Hays, et al.
6 Aug 11 1994 (A94-64) The applications for stay presented to Justice Scalia and by him referred to the Court are granted and it is ordered that the judgment of the United States District Court for the Western District of Louisiana, Civil Action No. CV 92-1522S, filed July 25, 1994, is

*** Related Case - Use VIDE,LS with VI ***

PREVIOUS 1 1 EXIT

SHDKT PROCEEDINGS AND ORDERS DATE: [06/02/95]

CASE NBR: [94100627] ATX STATUS: [GRANTED]
SHORT TITLE: [Louisiana, et al.]
VERSUS [Hays, Ray, et al.] DATE DOCKETED: [092694]
PAGE: [02]

~~~~~~~~DATE~~~~NOTE~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~PROCEEDINGS & ORDERS~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~

6 Aug 11 1994 (A94-64) The applications for stay presented to Justice Scalia and by him referred to the Court are granted and it is ordered that the judgment of the United States District Court for the Western District of Louisiana, Civil Action No. CV 92-1522S, filed July 25, 1994, is stayed pending the timely filing of statements as to jurisdiction in this Court. Should such statements be so timely filed, this order shall remain in effect pending this Court's action on the appeals. If the judgment should be affirmed, or the appeals dismissed, this stay shall expire automatically. In the event jurisdiction is noted, or postponed, this order shall remain in effect pending the sending down of the judgment of this Court. Justice Scalia would deny the applications.

7 Sep 26 1994 G Statement as to jurisdiction filed.

*** Related Case - Use VIDE,LS with VI ***

SHDKT PROCEEDINGS AND ORDERS DATE: [06/02/95]

CASE NBR: [94100627] ATX STATUS: [GRANTED]
SHORT TITLE: [Louisiana, et al.]
VERSUS [Hays, Ray, et al.] DATE DOCKETED: [092694]
PAGE: [03]

~~~~~~~~DATE~~~~NOTE~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~PROCEEDINGS & ORDERS~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~

8	Oct 28 1994	Motion of appellees Ray Hays, et al. to affirm filed. VIDED.
9	Nov 8 1994	DISTRIBUTED. November 23, 1994 (Page 23)
10	Nov 28 1994	REDISTRIBUTED. December 2, 1994 (Page 15)
12	Dec 5 1994	REDISTRIBUTED. December 9, 1994 (Page 15)
14	Dec 9 1994	ORDER: The Court defers noting probable jursidiction so that the United States District Court for the Western District of Louisiana, by re-entering its judgment, can cure a procedural defect arising from that court's having entered judgment prior to the issuance on July 27, 1994, of this Court's judgment in Louisiana v. Hays, No. 93-1539. This order shall be sent to the District Court forthwith. The District Court shall immediately re-enter its judgment. This Court will then note probable jurisdiction an consolidate this case with 94-558 for briefing andoral argument on the same schedule

*** Related Case - Use VIDE,LS with VI ***

PREVIOUS 1 1 EXIT

SHDKT PROCEEDINGS AND ORDERS DATE: [06/02/95]

CASE NBR: [94100627] ATX STATUS: [GRANTED]
SHORT TITLE: [Louisiana, et al.]
VERSUS [Hays, Ray, et al.] DATE DOCKETED: [092694]
PAGE: [04]

~~~~~~~~DATE~~~~NOTE~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~PROCEEDINGS & ORDERS~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~

14	Dec 9 1994	ORDER: The Court defers noting probable jursidiction so that the United States District Court for the Western District of Louisiana, by re-entering its judgment, can cure a procedural defect arising from that court's having entered judgment prior to the issuance on July 27, 1994, of this Court's judgment in Louisiana v. Hays, No. 93-1539. This order shall be sent to the District Court forthwith. The District Court shall immediately re-enter its judgment. This Court will then note probable jurisdiction an consolidate this case with 94-558 for briefing andoral argument on the same schedule
		as if this court had noted probable jurisdiction today
15	Dec 12 1994	Probable jurisdiction NOTED. The case is consolidated with No. 94-558, United States v. Hays, and a total of one hour is allotted for oral argument. The stay

*** Related Case - Use VIDE,LS with VI ***

1 1

SHDKT PROCEEDINGS AND ORDERS DATE: [06/02/95]

CASE NBR: [94100627] ATX STATUS: [GRANTED]
SHORT TITLE: [Louisiana, et al.]
VERSUS [Hays, Ray, et al.] DATE DOCKETED: [092694]
PAGE: [05]

~~~~~~~~DATE~~~~NOTE~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~PROCEEDINGS & ORDERS~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~

15 Dec 12 1994 Probable jurisdiction NOTED. The case is consolidated with No. 94-558, United States v. Hays, and a total of one hour is allotted for oral argument. The stay heretofor entered August 11, 1994, is re-entered as of the date the District Court re-entered its judgment.
****
16 Jan 23 1995 Brief amici curiae of National Bar Association, et al. filed. VIDED.
18 Jan 27 1995 Brief amici curiae of American Civil Liberties Union, et al. filed. VIDED.
17 Jan 30 1995 Brief amici curiae of Bernadine St. Cyr, et al. filed. VIDED.
19 Jan 30 1995 Joint appendix filed. VIDED.
20 Jan 30 1995 Brief of appellant United States filed. VIDED.
23 Jan 30 1995 Brief of appellants Louisiana, et al. filed.
*** Related Case - Use VIDE,LS with VI ***

PREVIOUS 1 1 EXIT

Last page of docket

SHDKT PROCEEDINGS AND ORDERS DATE: [06/02/95]

CASE NBR: [94100627] ATX STATUS: [GRANTED]
SHORT TITLE: [Louisiana, et al.]
VERSUS [Hays, Ray, et al.] DATE DOCKETED: [092694]
PAGE: [07]

~~~~~~~~DATE~~~~NOTE~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~PROCEEDINGS & ORDERS~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~

32 Mar 15 1995 X Brief of appellees Ray Hays, et al. filed. VIDED.
33 Mar 15 1995 X Brief amicus curiae of Institute for Justice filed. VIDED.
34 Mar 15 1995 X Brief amici curiae of South Carolina Senate, et al. filed. VIDED.
39 Mar 15 1995 X Brief amici curiae of Ruth O. Shaw, et al. filed. VIDED.
35 Apr 11 1995 X Reply brief of appellants Louisiana, et al. filed. VIDED.
36 Apr 12 1995 X Reply brief of appellant United States filed. VIDED.
37 Apr 19 1995 ARGUED.

SHDKT PROCEEDINGS AND ORDERS DATE: [06/02/95]

CASE NBR: [94100627] ATX STATUS: [GRANTED]
SHORT TITLE: [Louisiana, et al.]
VERSUS [Hays, Ray, et al.] DATE DOCKETED: [092694]
PAGE: [06]

	DATE	NOTE	PROCEEDINGS & ORDERS
28	Jan 30 1995		Brief amicus curiae of Congressional Black Caucus filed. VIDED.
22	Feb 2 1995		SET FOR ARGUMENT WEDNESDAY, APRIL 19, 1995. (1ST CASE).
24	Feb 14 1995		Record filed.
		*	Original record proceedings United States District Court for the Western District of Louisiana (5 BOXES)
25	Feb 14 1995	G	Motion of the Solicitor General for divided argument filed.
27	Feb 17 1995		Order extending time to file brief of appellee on the merits until March 15, 1995.
30	Mar 3 1995		CIRCULATED.
29	Mar 6 1995		Motion of the Solicitor General for divided argument GRANTED.
31	Mar 10 1995	X	Brief amicus curiae of Pacific Legal Foundation filed. VIDED.

*** Related Case - Use VIDE,LS with VI ***